



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,967	09/25/2006	Nicolas Nadaud	293259US0PCT	6539
22850 7590 11/15/2011 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
EXAMINER COLEMAN, RYAN L				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1714				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/15/2011		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Response to Rule 312 Communication	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/586,967	NADAUD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	RYAN COLEMAN	1714

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 26 October 2011 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

- a) ☐ entered.
- b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.
- c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

- d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below.
- e) ☒ entered in part. See explanation below.

Applicant's amendment is accepted and entered. In the Examiner's Amendment and Notice of Allowance dated 9/14/2011, the examiner deleted the dash symbol that occurs before the word "subjecting" in claim 1. In the amendments filed 10/26/2011, applicant has not deleted this dash. This appears to merely be a typographical error, and it is the examiner's position that the dash should still be considered deleted.

/Michael Kornakov/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1714

/RLC/
Ryan L. Coleman, patent examiner
November 8, 2011